

Pragmatic Competence And Social Variables In ESL Communication

Thankgod Ogba Igiri, PhD

Department Of English Language And Literature, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki

Azubuikwe Franklin Onwe, PhD

Department Of English Language And Literature, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki

Edwin Chiekpezie Orji, Ph.D

Department Of English Language And Literature, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki

Abstract

Pragmatic competence—the ability to use language appropriately in social contexts—has become a central concern in second language acquisition (SLA) and English as a Second Language (ESL) studies. While grammatical competence enables learners to construct well-formed sentences, pragmatic competence determines whether such utterances are socially acceptable and contextually appropriate. This paper examines the concept of pragmatic competence in ESL communication and explores how key social variables such as age, gender, power relations, social distance, cultural norms, and educational background influence pragmatic performance. Drawing on established pragmatic theories and empirical studies, the paper argues that pragmatic failure among ESL learners often stems not from linguistic deficiency but from inadequate sensitivity to sociocultural variables governing language use. The study highlights pedagogical implications for ESL instruction and calls for greater integration of pragmatic awareness into language teaching curricula.

Keywords: *Pragmatic competence, ESL communication, social variables, sociopragmatics, pragmalinguistics*

Date of Submission: 01-03-2026

Date of Acceptance: 11-03-2026

I. Introduction

The increasing global use of English as a second language has brought renewed attention to the pragmatic dimensions of communication. ESL learners frequently encounter communicative breakdowns despite possessing adequate grammatical knowledge. This phenomenon underscores the importance of pragmatic competence, which enables speakers to interpret and produce language appropriately within specific social and cultural contexts (Kasper & Rose, 2002).

In multilingual and multicultural settings, effective communication depends not only on what is said but also on how, when, and to whom it is said. Social variables such as age, status, gender, and cultural expectations play a decisive role in shaping language choices. Consequently, ESL learners who fail to account for these variables may experience pragmatic failure, leading to misunderstanding or perceptions of impoliteness (Thomas, 1983).

This paper explores the relationship between pragmatic competence and social variables in ESL communication, with a view to enhancing both theoretical understanding and pedagogical practice.

Conceptualizing Pragmatic Competence

Definition of Pragmatic Competence

Pragmatic competence refers to the ability to use language effectively and appropriately in context. According to Leech (1983), it involves matching linguistic expressions to communicative intentions while respecting social norms. Bachman (1990) conceptualizes pragmatic competence as comprising illocutionary competence (knowledge of speech acts) and sociolinguistic competence (knowledge of social conventions).

In ESL contexts, pragmatic competence enables learners to perform speech acts such as requesting, apologizing, refusing, and complimenting in ways that align with native or target-language norms (Taguchi, 2011).

Components of Pragmatic Competence

Pragmatic competence is commonly divided into two interrelated components:

1. Pragmalinguistic Competence.

knowledge of linguistic forms and strategies used to convey particular communicative acts (Kasper, 1997).

2. Sociopragmatic Competence.

Understanding of social norms, values, and expectations that govern language use in a given community (Leech, 1983).

Failure in either component may result in pragmatic infelicity or communicative breakdown.

Theoretical Framework

Speech Act Theory

Speech Act Theory, proposed by Austin (1962) and further developed by Searle (1969), provides a foundational framework for pragmatic analysis. The theory posits that utterances perform actions such as requesting, promising, or apologizing. ESL learners must not only know the linguistic form of speech acts but also the social conditions under which they are appropriately performed.

Politeness Theory

Brown and Levinson's (1987) Politeness Theory explains how speakers manage face needs during interaction. The theory emphasizes social variables such as power (P), distance (D), and ranking of imposition (R). ESL learners often struggle with politeness strategies because these variables are culturally bound and may differ significantly from those in their first language.

Interlanguage Pragmatics

Interlanguage pragmatics examines how non-native speakers acquire and use pragmatic knowledge in a second language (Selinker, 1972; Kasper & Blum-Kulka, 1993). It highlights the influence of learners' first language and sociocultural background on pragmatic development.

Social Variables Influencing Pragmatic Competence in ESL Communication

1. Age

Age influences pragmatic development in ESL learners, particularly in terms of sensitivity to social norms and contextual cues. Adult learners may possess advanced cognitive skills but often transfer pragmatic norms from their first language, leading to non-target-like usage (Ellis, 1994).

2. Gender

Gender affects language use and pragmatic choices, especially in speech acts such as requests and apologies. Research suggests that women tend to use more politeness markers and indirect strategies than men, reflecting broader sociocultural expectations (Holmes, 1995). ESL learners must therefore navigate gendered communication norms in the target language.

3. Power and Social Status

Power relations between interlocutors significantly shape pragmatic behavior. In ESL communication, learners may fail to appropriately adjust their language when speaking to superiors or subordinates, resulting in pragmatic failure (Brown & Levinson, 1987).

4. Social Distance

Social distance refers to the degree of familiarity between speakers. ESL learners often struggle to distinguish between formal and informal contexts, leading to either excessive politeness or inappropriate familiarity (Yule, 1996).

5. Cultural Norms and Values

Culture plays a central role in pragmatic interpretation. What is considered polite or appropriate in one culture may be perceived differently in another. Cross-cultural pragmatic differences frequently account for misunderstanding in ESL communication (Thomas, 1983; Wierzbicka, 1991).

6. Educational Background

Learners' exposure to formal English instruction influences pragmatic competence. Traditional ESL pedagogy often prioritizes grammar and vocabulary at the expense of pragmatic instruction, leaving learners ill-prepared for real-life interaction (Bardovi-Harlig, 2001).

Pragmatic Failure in ESL Communication

Pragmatic failure occurs when a speaker's intended meaning is misunderstood due to inappropriate language use rather than grammatical error (Thomas, 1983). ESL learners commonly experience two types of pragmatic failure:

1. **Pragmalinguistic failure** – misuse of linguistic forms.
2. **Sociopragmatic failure** – misjudgment of social norms.

Such failures can have serious interpersonal consequences, including negative stereotyping and communicative exclusion.

Pedagogical Implications

The findings of pragmatic research suggest that ESL instruction should incorporate explicit pragmatic teaching. Teachers should expose learners to authentic discourse, role-plays, and contextualized speech-act practice. Awareness of social variables must be integrated into language curricula to foster communicative competence (Canale & Swain, 1980; Taguchi, 2015).

II. Conclusion

Pragmatic competence is a vital component of effective ESL communication, deeply influenced by social variables such as age, gender, power, social distance, and culture. ESL learners' communicative difficulties often arise from inadequate sociopragmatic awareness rather than linguistic incompetence. This paper underscores the need for a more holistic approach to ESL teaching—one that balances grammatical instruction with pragmatic and sociocultural awareness.

Future research should explore context-specific pragmatic competence, particularly in expanding ESL environments such as Nigeria, where English functions as both a second language and a lingua franca.

References

- [1]. Austin, J. L. (1962). *How To Do Things With Words*. Oxford University Press.
- [2]. Bachman, L. F. (1990). *Fundamental Considerations In Language Testing*. Oxford University Press.
- [3]. Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2001). Evaluating The Empirical Evidence: Grounds For Instruction In Pragmatics. *Pragmatics*, 11(1), 25–71.
- [4]. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some Universals In Language Usage*. Cambridge University Press.
- [5]. Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical Bases Of Communicative Approaches To Second Language Teaching And Testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
- [6]. Ellis, R. (1994). *The Study Of Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford University Press.
- [7]. Holmes, J. (1995). *Women, Men And Politeness*. Longman.
- [8]. Kasper, G. (1997). Can Pragmatic Competence Be Taught? *Network*, 6, 105–119.
- [9]. Kasper, G., & Blum-Kulka, S. (1993). *Interlanguage Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- [10]. Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). *Pragmatic Development In A Second Language*. Blackwell.
- [11]. Leech, G. N. (1983). *Principles Of Pragmatics*. Longman.
- [12]. Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay In The Philosophy Of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- [13]. Taguchi, N. (2011). Teaching Pragmatics: Trends And Issues. *Annual Review Of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 289–310.
- [14]. Thomas, J. (1983). Cross-Cultural Pragmatic Failure. *Applied Linguistics*, 4(2), 91–112.
- [15]. Wierzbicka, A. (1991). *Cross-Cultural Pragmatics: The Semantics Of Human Interaction*. Mouton De Gruyter.
- [16]. Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.